| Agency and Sub- agency | RIN/OMB Control Number | Title of Initiative/Rule /ICR | Brief Description | Actual or Target Completion Date | Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits | Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments | Notes |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1. FAR Council | N/A | Application of new regulatory requirements to commercial item acquisitions & small (simplified) purchases | Review approach taken by the FAR Council to identify whether new laws should be applied to acquisitions for commercial items, including commercially available off-the- shelf (COTS) items and acquisitions valued below the SAT. | Winter/ December 2012 | Improved economy and efficiency Reduced barriers to entering the federal marketplace Increased small business participation | The FAR Council has been considering ways to better evaluate the burden of new laws to the acquisition of commercial items, COTS, and small purchases, and ways to minimize such burden if laws were to be applied. As part of this effort, the FAR Council will pilot a process where public input on potential burden is solicited early in the rulemaking process (e.g., before a proposed rule is issued) in connection with the implementation of (1) Section 865 of the Ike Skeleton National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2011, <u>Public Law 111- 383</u> , which calls for a review of regulations addressing the acquisition | FRN will invite public comment on whether additional guidance is needed on services acquisition. The FAR Council will conduct a public meeting on March 5, 2013 and request comment on the implementation of Executive Order 13627, Strengthening Protections Against Trafficking in Persons in Federal Contracts and Title XVII of the NDAA of FY 13, Public Law 112-239, "The End Trafficking in |

| | | | | | | of services, and (2) Executive Order 13627 to strengthen protections against human trafficking in persons in federal contracting. | Government Contracting." FAR Case 2013-001 was opened to implement the Trafficking EO. |
|-------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| 2. FAR Council | N/A | Quick pay to small businesses | Explore opportunities to accelerate payments to small businesses | Spring/Summ er 2012 - memo/deviat ion issued | Reduced barriers to entry Increased small business participation | In order to improve cash flow for small businesses and build on OMB Memorandum M-11-32, which required agencies to accelerate payment to small business prime contractors, ,OMB issued Policy Memorandum M-12-16, Providing Prompt Payment to Small Business Subcontractors, on July 11, 2012. This follow-on memorandum requires agencies to temporarily accelerate payment to all prime contractors so they, in turn, can pay their small business subcontractors on an accelerated timetable to the maximum extent | On July 25, 2012, the FAR Council opened FAR Case 2012-031, Accelerated Payment to Small Business Subcontractors, to incorporate OMB Memorandum M- 11-32 and the temporary deviation into the FAR and seek public comment on the clause and related regulatory changes. The proposed rule published in the Federal Register December 19, 2012. |
| | | | | Fall/Winter | | practicable. On August 15, 2012, DoD directed its acquisition workforce to | The comment period closes February 19, 2013. |
| | | | | 2012 – the FAR Case may be released | | begin inserting a clause into its solicitations and contracts to implement the OMB policy. The clause | The comments will be considered in formulation of a final rule. |

| | | | | | | was issued pursuant to a <u>deviation</u> (a document that gives agencies permission to bypass a particular rule). On August 16, 2012, GSA issued similar guidance to authorize civilian agencies to issue deviations to support their use of the same clause. Agencies are issuing deviations and are incorporating the clauses into their new solicitations and contracts. | |
|-------------------|-----|---|--|-------------|---|---|--|
| 3. FAR Council | N/A | Improved communication s with vendors | Review regulatory requirements governing exchanges with industry before contract award to determine if sufficient guidance is included in the FAR about communication with industry. | Winter2013 | Improved economy and efficiency Reduced barriers to entry | OFPP issued a <u>Feb 2, 11</u> and <u>May 7 12</u> Memo on Improving Communications | FAR Council is reviewing coverage in FAR 15.201 and 15.306 to assess if there are opportunities to clarify guidance so that agencies take full advantage of flexibilities for enhanced vendor communications. |
| 4. FAR Council | N/A | Reduce number of competitions that result in only one offer | Consider whether tailored regulatory changes might assist agencies in their efforts to | Winter 2013 | Reduced exposure to high risk contracting. Better pricing | The FAR Council is exploring options for reducing the number of competitions resulting in only one offer, which could include clarifying the role | The FAR Council is also reviewing DoD's supplement to the FAR, DFAR rule 2011-D013, addressing one bid |

| | | | increase contractor interest in competitions that have received only one offer. | | and terms and conditions through improved use of competition | of the competition advocate and/or the use of elevated review and approvals, improved up front analysis of the factors that influence industry bid/no-bid decisions, and improved government outreach and vendor engagement. | (one offer) competition. The comment period closed on Sept 23, 2011, and re-opened until Oct 7, 2011. DoD's final rule was published on Jun 29, 2012. |
|-------------------|---|--|--|-------------|--|--|---|
| 5. FAR Council | N/A | Revisit process for reviewing past performance information | Consider benefits and drawbacks of eliminating appeals process from past performance policies that currently provide for review of evaluations at a level above the contracting officer. | Winter 2013 | Improved economy and efficiency | FAR Case 2012-009, Documenting Contractor Performance will standardize performance evaluations and criteria. FAR Case 2012-028, Contractor Comment PeriodPast Performance Evaluations, proposed rule, would reduce the time a contractor has to rebut a performance assessment before the assessment is made available to other agencies in the Past Performance Information Retrieval System. | Public comments are being considered in formulation of a final rule. The comment period on the rule closed on Nov 5, 2012. This case is under OFPP's review. |
| 6. FAR Council | FAR rule (RIN 9000– AM12) SBA rule (RIN 3245- | Work with SBA to modernize rules of using contract set- asides and small business | | | Reduced barriers to entry Increased small business participation | FAR Council issued an interim rule (FAR Case 2011-024, Set Aside for Small Business)on November 2, 2011 to provide agencies with | The White House Small Business Working Group has worked with agencies to ensure they are taking full |

| AG22) Subcontracting plans Initial guidance to take advantage of the serve authorities provided in section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 while SBA completes drafting and coordination of its proposed rule. SBA's rule sets forth more complete guidance. FAR intervention of 2010 while SBA completes drafting and coordination of its proposed rule. SBA's rule sets forth more complete guidance. SBA co-hosted a webinar on August 6, 2012, to outline strategies and success stories for using set-asides in connection with multiple award contracts. The FAR Council also issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- 004 Socioeconomic Program Parity) to clarify that there is no order of precedence among the small business program. The FAR Council is proposed to invove forward with additional rulemaing once SBA completes its review of public comment on its proposed implementation of sscien 1331and issues a final rule. FAR intervention forward to any setting the small Business Subs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business setting the small Business | AG22) | subcontracting | | | | initial guidance to take | advantage of the |
|--|-------|----------------|--|--|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| reserve authorities provided in section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 while SBA completes drafting and coordination of its sets forth more complete guidance. The FAR Council also issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- 004 Socioeconomic Program Parity) to clarify that there is no order of precedence among the small business programs. FAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- OB Socioeconomic Program Darity) to clarify that there is no order of precedence among the small business program. FAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- OB Socioeconomic Program Darity) to clarify that there is no order of precedence among the small business program. The FAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- OB Socioeconomic Proposed rule sits sets of the Small Business Sobs Act of 2010, offective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business Sobs | AGZZJ | subcontracting | | | | initial guidance to take | • |
| provided in section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 while SBA completes drafting and coordination of its proposed rule. SRA's rule sets forth more complete guidance.the FAR Council and SBA co-hosted a webinar on August 6, 2012, to outline strategies and success stories for using set-asides in connection with multiple award contracts.The FAR Council also issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- 004 Socioeconomic Program Parity) to clarify that there is no order of precedence among the small business programs.The FAR Council is propared to move forward with additional rulemaking once SBA completes its review of public comment on its proposedFAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011-005 Repeal of the Small Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program) implementing section 1331 of the Small Businessthe FAR Council and SBA co-hosted a webinar on August 6, 2012, to outline strategies and contracts.FAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011-005 section 131 and inplementing section 1331 of the Small BusinessThe FAR Council issued a final rule. | | pialis | | | | | |
| the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 while SBA completes drafting and coordination of its proposed rule. SBA's rule sets forth more complete guidance.SBA co-hosted a webinar on August G, 2012, to outline strategies and success stories for using set-asides in connection with multiple award contracts.The FAR Council also issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- 004 Socioeconomic Program Parity) to clarify that there is no order of precedence among the small business programs.The FAR Council is prepared to move forward with additional rulemaking once SBA completes its review of public comment on its proposed implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, offective on January 2011, to remove the Small BusinessSBA co-hosted a webinar on August do courcination of section 1331 of the Small Business | | | | | | | • |
| of 2010 while SBA completes drafting and corodination of its proposed rule. SBA's rule sets forth more complete guidance.6, 2012, to outline strategies and | | | | | | | |
| completes drafting and coordination of its strategies and success stories for using set-asides in connection with multiple award contracts.6, 2012, to outline strategies and success stories for using set-asides in connection with multiple award contracts.The FAR Council also issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- 004 Socioeconomic Program Parity) to clarify that there is no order of precedence among the small business programs.The FAR Council is prepared to move forward with additional rulemaking once SBA completes its review of public comment on its section 1331 of the Small Business closs Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business6, 2012, to outline strategies and success stories for using section 1331 of the Small Business lobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | | |
| coordination of its proposed rule. SBA's rule sets forth more complete guidance.strategies and success stories for using set-asides in connection with multiple award contracts.The FAR Council also issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- 004 Socioeconomic Program Parity) to clarify that there is no order of precedence among the small business programs.The FAR Council is prepared to move forward with additional rulemaking once SBA completes its review of public comment on its proposed implementation of section 1331and issues a final rule.FAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011-005 Repeal of the Small Business Dom Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Businessstrategies and success stories for using section 1331 of the Small Business lobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Businessstrategies and success stories for using section 1331 of the Small Business | | | | | | | • |
| proposed rule. SBA's rule sets forth more complete guidance.success stories for using set-asides in connection with multiple award contracts.The FAR Council also issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- 004 Socioeconomic Program Parity) to clarify that there is no order of precedence among the small business programs.The FAR Council is prepared to move forward with additional rulemaking once SBA completes its review of public comment on its proposed implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Businesssucces stories for using set-asides in connection with multiple award contracts. | | | | | | | |
| sets forth more complete guidance. The FAR Council also issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- 004 Socioeconomic Program Parity) to clarify that there is no order of precedence among the small business programs. FAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011-005 Repeal of the Small Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program) implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | | • |
| guidance. The FAR Council also issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011- 004 Socioeconomic Program Parity) to clarify prepared to move forward with a diditional rulemaking once SBA completes its review of public FAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011-005 Repeal of the Small Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program) implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | • • | |
| Image: Section 1331 and issues a final rule multiple award contracts. Image: Section 1331 and issues a final rule multiple award contracts. Image: Section 1331 and issues a final rule multiple award contracts. Image: Section 1331 and issues a final rule multiple award contracts. Image: Section 1331 and issues a final rule. multiple award contracts. Image: Section 1331 and issues a final rule. move the Small Business box Image: Section 1331 and issues a final rule. multiple award contracts. Image: Section 1331 and issues a final rule. move final rule. | | | | | | • | - |
| Image: Second stateImage: Se | | | | | | guidance. | |
| Image: state of the state | | | | | | | • |
| 004 SocioeconomicThe FAR Council is prepared to move forward with additional rulemaking once SBA completes its review of public comment on its proposed implementation of Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program) implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small BusinessThe FAR Council is prepared to move forward with additional rulemaking once SBA completes its review of public comment on its proposed implementation of section 1331and issues a final rule. | | | | | | | contracts. |
| Program Parity) to clarify that there is no order of precedence among the small business programs.prepared to move forward with additional rulemaking once SBA completes its review of publicFAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011-005 Repeal of the Small Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program) implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Businesssection 1331 and issues a final rule. | | | | | | | |
| that there is no order of precedence among the small business programs. FAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011-005 Repeal of the Small Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program) implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | 004 Socioeconomic | The FAR Council is |
| Image: state stat | | | | | | Program Parity) to clarify | prepared to move |
| small business programs. Small business programs. SBA completes its review of public comment on its proposed implementation of Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program) implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | that there is no order of | forward with |
| SBA completes its review of public FAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011-005 Repeal of the Small Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program) implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | precedence among the | additional |
| Image: section 1331andImage: section 1331and <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>small business programs.</td> <td>rulemaking once</td> | | | | | | small business programs. | rulemaking once |
| FAR Council issued a final rule (FAR Case 2011-005 Repeal of the Small Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program) implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Businesscomment on its proposed implementation of section 1331and issues a final rule. | | | | | | | SBA completes its |
| Image: speed of the speed of | | | | | | | review of public |
| Repeal of the Small implementation of Business Competitiveness section 1331and issues a final rule. implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | FAR Council issued a final | comment on its |
| Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program) implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | rule (<u>FAR Case 2011-005</u> | proposed |
| Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program) implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | Repeal of the Small | implementation of |
| implementing section 1331 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | Business Competitiveness | section 1331and |
| of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | Demonstration Program) | issues a final rule. |
| Act of 2010, effective on January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | implementing section 1331 | |
| January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | of the Small Business Jobs | |
| January 2011, to remove the Small Business | | | | | | Act of 2010, effective on | |
| the Small Business | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | the Small Business | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Demonstration Program | | | | | | | |
| from the FAR. | | | | | | | |

| 7. FAR | Organizatio | Restructure | Rulemaking to | Spring/Fall of | Updated OCI | OCI- The FAR Council is | PCI – <u>FAR Case</u> |
|---------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Council | nal | rules | update OCI | 2012 - | coverage and | completing its | <u>2008-025</u> , final rule |
| | Conflicts of | addressing | coverage | completed | newly- | consideration of public | was published on |
| | Interest | conflicts of | (unchanged since | | implemented PCI | comments on the proposed | Nov 2, 2011. In |
| | (OCI) | interest – OCIs | 1984) and to | OCI Final | coverage will | rule as it seeks to clarify | conjunction with |
| | (RIN 9000- | and PCIs | implement PCI | Rule | enhance integrity | rules for addressing the | this publication, the |
| | AL82) | | coverage. | (FAR Case | and business | complex risks associated | FAR Council invited |
| | | | | <u>2011-001</u> – to | ethics. | with OCI in a manner that | the public to |
| | | | | be issued in | | minimizes burden both for | comment on |
| | | | | Winter 2013 | | industry and government. | whether additional |
| | Personal | | | | | As part of its review, the | coverage should be |
| | Conflicts Of | | | Contractor | | FAR Council has made | considered and, |
| | Interests | | | Access to | | substantial changes to the | based on the |
| | (PCI) | | | Protected | | proposed coverage | feedback, concluded |
| | (RIN 9000- | | | Information | | regarding contractor access | in March 2012, that |
| | AL46) | | | Proposed | | to protected information | no further action is |
| | | | | Rule | | and intends to separate out | needed at this time. |
| | | | | (FAR Case | | this coverage from the OCI | |
| | | | | 2012-029)-to | | case and seek additional | |
| | | | | be issued in | | public comment on its | |
| | | | | Winter 2013. | | changes. | |
| 8. FAR | Improving | Use of | Strengthen the | Spring 2012- | Improved | After careful consideration | No further action is |
| Council | competitio | competition for | competition rules | Action | economy and | of public comments, <u>FAR</u> | required. |
| | n | blanket | for placing orders | Completed | efficiency | Case 2007-012 | |
| | (RIN 9000- | purchase | under multiple | | | "Requirements for | |
| | AL93) | agreements | award contracts, | | Reduced | Acquisitions Pursuant to | |
| | | | consistent with | | exposure to high | Multiple-Award Contracts" | |
| | | | section 863 of | | risk contracting. | was published as a final | |
| | | | NDAA for FY | | | rule in Mar 2012. | |
| | | | <u>2009</u> . | | | | |

| EO No. | Title/Date signed | Description | Action Taken |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| <u>13494</u> | Economy and Efficiency in Government Contracting (January 2009) | This EO prohibits agencies from reimbursing contractors for activities intended to persuade employees either to organize collectively or not to organize collectively. | The Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council (FAR Council) issued a final rule in June 2011 that amends the cost principle in the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) addressing reimbursement of labor relations costs to explicitly prohibit agencies from reimbursing federal contractors for expenses incurred in connection with persuading or dissuading employees from bargaining collectively. |
| <u>13495</u> | Nondisplacement of Qualified Workers Under Service Contracts (January 2009) | When a new service contractor is selected to replace an incumbent contractor performing services covered by the Service Contract Act, the EO requires that the incoming contractor give employees of the incumbent contractor the ability to retain their jobs on the successor contract (i.e., a right of first refusal). | The Department of Labor issued comprehensive regulations in August 2011. In December 2012, the FAR Council issued a final rule to implement the requirements of the EO and the DOL rule. |
| <u>13496</u> | Notification of Employee Rights Under Federal Labor Laws(January 2009) | This EO ensures that all employees of Federal contractors are aware of their right to associate and to bargain. | In May 2010, the Department of Labor issued a rule which elaborates on and clarifies the requirements set forth in the EO. In December 2010, the FAR Council issued an interim final rule to implement the requirements set forth in the EO and DOL regulation and finalized the rule in November 2011 after considering public comments. |
| <u>13502</u> | Use of Project Labor Agreements in Federal Construction Projects (February 2009) | This EO encourages federal agencies to consider requiring the use of project labor agreements on large-scale construction projects, where the total cost to the Government is \$25 million or more. (A PLA is a pre-hire collective bargaining agreement with one or more labor organizations that | In April 2011, the FAR Council finalized regulatory changes to help agencies make reasoned evaluations about whether a PLA is appropriate for a given construction project. The rule provides (1) factors to help agencies in considering whether a PLA would be beneficial, (2) guidance regarding the content of such an agreement, and (3) solicitation provisions and contract clauses to use in construction acquisitions if a decision is made |

FAR Council's Implementation of Executive Orders (EO)

| | | establishes the terms and conditions of | to require a PLA. |
|-------|--|---|--|
| | | employment for a specific construction | |
| | | project.) | OMB issued guidance requiring agencies to track and report on their use of PLAs. |
| 13520 | Reducing ImproperPayments andEliminating Waste inFederalPrograms(November2009) | This EO requires agencies to reduce improper payments by intensifying efforts to eliminate payment error, waste, fraud, and abuse in the major programs administered by the Federal government. | In April 2012, the Office of Management and Budget issued a memorandum to all Executive departments and agencies entitled "Reducing Improper Payments though the "Do Not Pay List." The memorandum, sent to the heads of all Executive departments and agencies, directs agencies to develop a plan for how the Do-Not-Pay" information and capabilities will be used in agency pre-award and pre-payment. As part of this plan, agency Chief Acquisition Officers were asked to evaluate if additional information available through the Do-Not-Pay portal could be used to complement information in FAPIIS, which remains a required source of |
| | | | information for Federal contracting officers A new "one-stop" tool, The Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System, has been launched to help agencies identify responsible, quality contractors. The Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System is improving agency access to information on contractor performance to motivate better contractor performance as well as information on business integrity and ethics so that they can more easily determine whether a company is playing by the rules. Suspensions and debarments have increased in each of the past three years, from just over 1900 in FY 2009 to more than 3000 in FY 2011, as senior accountable officials have taken steps to make sure their agencies have both the ability to consider and, when necessary, act to prevent a contractor from putting an agency's mission in harm's way. |
| 13540 | Intorogona, Took Force | This EO directed SBA to Chair an | |
| 13540 | Interagency Task Force | | In November 2011, the Task Force issued a report to the |
| | in Veterans Small | Interagency Task Force on Veterans Small | President with 18 recommendations to strengthen the Federal |

| | Business Development | Business Development to improve | Government's role in supporting Veterans who are, or who |
|-------|-----------------------|--|---|
| | | opportunities and access for small | want to become, business owners, including tools to simplify |
| | | businesses owned by Veterans and service- | access to information for veteran and service-disabled veteran- |
| | | disabled Veterans in a number of areas | owned small businesses, enhance agencies' ability to find these |
| | | associated with small business | businesses, and streamline the certification process to be used |
| | | development, including federal contracting. | by these businesses to certify their status. |
| | | | In FY 2011, for the fifth consecutive year, federal prime contracting dollars awarded to service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses (SDVOSBs) increased to \$11.2 billion or 2.65 percent in FY 2011. This is up 2.50 percent from \$10.793 billion in FY2010. |
| | | | In FY 2012, the VA exceeded its contracting goals for SDVOSBs and veteran-owned small businesses (VOSBs), awarding more than 20 percent of its total procurements to SDVOSBs and 23% to VOSBs. |
| | | | In FY2012, the VA reduced its average time to certify a |
| | | | business as a SDVOSB by more than a third – from over 120 |
| | | | days to 85 days on average. |
| 13563 | Improving Regulation | This EO tasks agencies to engage in periodic | In accordance with the EO, the FAR Council is taking action and |
| | and Regulatory Review | reviews of existing significant regulations by | developed an implementation plan. In accordance with the |
| | (January 2011) | promoting retrospective analysis of rules | plan, OMB and agencies reviewed current prompt payment |
| | | that are outmoded, ineffective, insufficient, | provisions and identified opportunities to work with OMB on |
| | | or excessively burdensome. The EO also | its policy to accelerate payments to small businesses. The FAR |
| | | requires agencies to maximize opportunities | Council has also taken action to improve competition in the |
| | | for public comment in the rulemaking | supply schedules. |
| | | process, especially from those who are | |
| | | likely to be affected. | |
| 13589 | Promoting Efficient | This EO directed agencies to establish plans | Agencies have reduced contract spending for management |
| | Spending (November | for reducing administrative costs including | support, which includes services such as information |
| | 2011) | contract costs for administrative support – | technology systems development, program management, and |
| | | by not less than 20 % below FY 2010 levels | engineering services, where costs quadrupled over the past |

| | | in EV 2012 | decade Retween EV 2010 and EV 2011 accession browshit |
|-------|----------------------------|---|---|
| | | in FY 2013. | decade. Between FY 2010 and FY 2011, agencies brought |
| | | | spending down by seven percent, or \$3 billion, and preliminary |
| | | | analysis indicates agencies have reduced spending against FY |
| | | | 2010 levels by 15 percent, or close to \$7 billion, as of the end |
| | | | of FY 2012. These efforts have been supported by guidance |
| | | | issued jointly from the OFPP Administrator and the Controller |
| | | | of the United States. This issued guidance identifies rules and |
| | | | responsibilities and best practices for internal controls. |
| | | | Agencies have successfully leveraged the government's |
| | | | purchasing power, as the world's largest customer, for |
| | | | everyday commodities, such as office supplies and overnight |
| | | | delivery services. Use of government-wide contracts for office |
| | | | supplies – which include tiered pricing discounts – have saved |
| | | | taxpayers more than \$140 million since these contracts were |
| | | | put in place just two years ago. |
| 13590 | Iran Sanctions | Among other things, this EO prohibits any | In November 2011, the FAR Council published an interim rule |
| | (November 2011) | agency from procuring goods or services | which immediately imposed a prohibition on relating to |
| | | from a person or company where the | contracts with persons that export certain sensitive |
| | | Secretary of State has determined that the | technologies to Iran, and issued a final rule in April 2012. The |
| | | person or company knowingly aided the | rule establishes a contract clause to be inserted in all |
| | | development of petroleum resources in | solicitations, requires potential contractors to represent that |
| | | Iran. | they have not engaged in any activities prohibited by the EO, |
| | | | and outlines comprehensive waiver requirements. |
| 13627 | Strengthening | This EO strengthens existing protections to | The FAR Council is drafting a proposed rule, FAR Case 2013- |
| | Protections Against | prohibit contractors and subcontractors | 001, to incorporate the requirements set forth in the EO 13627, |
| | Trafficking In Persons | from engaging in specific trafficking-related | including requirements for contractors to develop compliance |
| | In Federal Contracts | activities. | plans and to affirmatively certify to their compliance. |
| | (September 2012) | | |
| | | | The FAR Council will conduct a public meeting and request |
| | | | comment on the implementation of EO 13627 and Title XVII of |
| | | | the NDAA of FY 13, Public Law 112-239. |

FAR Council Burden Reduction Initiatives

| Office | Title | Description | Estimated Reduction (in burden hrs) | Results of Reduction | How was the change implemented | Stakeholders impacted/ were there public comments | What type of change? |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|----------------------|
| FAR | Reduction of Government Property (Scrap List) Reporting Requirement – OMB No. 9000-0075 | Production scrap is unusable material resulting from contract activities such as production, engineering or operation and maintenance, but may have value from remelting or reprocessing. Examples include textile and metal clippings, trimmings, etc. Previous FAR guidelines required the Government to approve contractor scrap procedures prior to disposal. In addition, the FAR required contractors, without approved scrap procedures, to submit inventory schedules or scrap lists prior to disposition. The Government removed approval of contractor scrap procedures and | 1,875,700 | As a result of the rule change, Contractors would realize savings from not having to review instructions, search existing data, gather and maintain the data needed to complete, review, keep records and then submit a separate scrap list. | The FAR final rule published in the Federal Register at 77 FR 12937 on March 2, 2012. Revisions were needed to the Information Collection and were approved in October 2012. | Contractors/No | Regulatory |

| submission of a scrap list because the requirements had no real utility to the Government and added costs to contractor | | |
|--|--|--|
| operations. A contractor can now dispose of the scrap in accordance with its own property management procedures usually without prior approval of the Government. | | |

FAR Council's Implementation of Presidential Memorandum

| Title/Date signed | Description | Action Taken |
|---|--|--|
| Presidential Memorandum on Driving Innovation and Creating Jobs in Rural America through Biobased and Sustainable Product Procurement (February 2011) | This Presidential Memorandum directs agencies to take a series of steps to emphasize biobased purchasing in their acquisitions. | The FAR Council issued a final rule in April 2012, which includes new clauses that require Federal agencies to purchase biobased products identified for a Federal Procurement Preference and contractors to report on their use of biobased products. |
| | | Government-wide management scorecards were revised to emphasize the procurement of biobased products beginning in January 2012. |