## 35.007 Solicitations.

- (a) The submission and subsequent evaluation of an inordinate number of R&D proposals from sources lacking appropriate qualifications is costly and time-consuming to both industry and the Government. Therefore, *contracting officers should* initially distribute *solicitations* only to sources technically qualified to perform research or *development* in the specific field of science or technology involved. Cognizant technical personnel *should* recommend potential sources that appear qualified, as a result of-
- (1) Present and past performance of similar work;
- (2) Professional stature and reputation;
- (3) Relative position in a particular field of endeavor;
- (4) Ability to acquire and retain the professional and technical capability, including facilities, required to perform the work; and
- (5) Other relevant factors.
- (b) Proposals generally *shall* be solicited from technically qualified sources, including sources that become known as a result of synopses or other means of publicizing requirements. If it is not practicable to initially solicit all apparently qualified sources, only a reasonable number need be solicited. In the interest of competition, *contracting officers shall* furnish copies of the *solicitation* to other apparently qualified sources.
- (c) *Solicitations shall* require *offerors* to describe their technical and management approach, identify technical uncertainties, and make specific proposals for the resolution of any uncertainties. The *solicitation should* require *offerors* to include in the proposal any planned subcontracting of scientific or technical work (see <u>35.009</u>).
- (d) *Solicitations may* require that proposals be organized so that the technical portions can be efficiently evaluated by technical personnel (see  $\underline{15.204-5}(b)$ ). *Solicitation* and evaluation of proposals *should* be planned to minimize *offerors*' and Government expense.
- (e) R&D  $solicitations\ should\ contain\ evaluation\ factors\ to\ be\ used\ to\ determine\ the\ most\ technically\ competent\ (see <math>15.304$ ), such as-
- (1) The *offeror*'s understanding of the scope of the work;
- (2) The approach proposed to accomplish the scientific and technical objectives of the contract or the merit of the ideas or concepts proposed;
- (3) The availability and competence of experienced engineering, scientific, or other technical personnel;
- (4) The *offeror*'s experience;
- (5) Pertinent novel ideas in the specific branch of science and technology involved; and

- (6) The availability, from any source, of necessary research, test, laboratory, or shop facilities.
- (f) In addition to evaluation factors for technical competence, the *contracting officer shall* consider, as appropriate, management capability (including cost management techniques), experience and *past performance*, subcontracting practices, and any other significant evaluation criteria (*e.g.*, unrealistically low cost estimates in proposals for cost-reimbursement or fixed-price incentive contracts). Although cost or price is not normally the controlling factor in selecting a contractor to perform R&D, it *should* not be disregarded in arriving at a selection that best satisfies the Government's requirement at a fair and reasonable cost.
- (g) The *contracting officer should* ensure that potential *offerors* fully understand the details of the work, especially the Government interpretation of the work statement. If the effort is complex, the *contracting officer should* provide potential *offerors* an opportunity to comment on the details of the requirements as contained in the work statement, the contract Schedule, and any related specifications. This *may* be done at a preproposal conference (see 15.201).
- (h) If it is appropriate to do so, *solicitations should* permit *offerors* to propose an alternative contract type (see <u>16.103</u>).
- (i) In circumstances when a concern has a new idea or product to discuss that incorporates the results of independent R&D work funded by the concern in the private sector and is of interest to the Government, there *should* be no hesitancy to discuss it; however, the concern *should* be warned that the Government will not be obligated by the discussion. Under such circumstances, it *may* be appropriate to negotiate directly with the concern without competition. Also, see <u>subpart 15.6</u> concerning *unsolicited proposals*.
- (j) The Government *may* issue an exploratory request to determine the existence of ideas or prior work in a specific field of research. Any such request *shall* clearly state that it does not impose any obligation on the Government or signify a firm intention to enter into a contract.

Parent topic: Part 35 - Research and Development Contracting